## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER
	Crim. No. 11-228

Jason Bo-Alan Beckman (01),

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

David J. MacLaughlin and Tracy L. Perzel, Assistant United States Attorney, Counsel for Plaintiff.

Douglas B. Altman, Counsel for Defendant Jason Bo-Alan Beckman.

Richard G. Mark and Matthew G. Forsgren, Briggs and Morgan, P.A., Counsel for Briggs and Morgan, P.A.

Pursuant to the Order of this Court dated April 18, 2012 [Doc. No. 234] the Briggs and Morgan, P.A. ("Briggs") law firm was directed to submit to the Court a relevant sampling of responsive documents to the Government's subpoena for an *in camera* review. The Court received the relevant sampling and has conducted an *in camera* review. Based on that review, the Court finds that the crime fraud exception to the attorney-client privilege applies to the communications between Briggs and defendant Beckman. Accordingly, Briggs'

motion to quash will be denied.

To the extent that Beckman moves to exclude any evidence from Briggs, such motion is denied.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Briggs and Morgan, P.A.'s Motion to Quash [Doc. No. 230] is DENIED;
- Defendant Beckman's Motion to Exclude Evidence of Attorney-Client Privilege [Doc. No. 220] is DENIED as to Briggs and Morgan, P.A.

Date: April 19, 2012

s/ Michael J. Davis
Michael J. Davis
Chief Judge

**United States District Court**